



United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
IIDIAN HERTO CON

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/874,878	06/05/2001	Atul Puri	2001-0161C	6025
75	7590 05/21/2004			INER
Samuel H. Dworetsky			LEE, Y YOUNG	
AT&T CORP. P. O. Box 4110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Middletown, N	J 07748-4110	2613	2	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/874,878	PURI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Y. Lee	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	his action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	 Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of phrases which can be implied, such as "disclosed" in line 1. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 7-10, 13, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 7, 9, and 10 recite the limitation "the portion model" in lines 6 and 1, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/874,878 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

6. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the decoded segments" in lines 5-6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

7. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the general model" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 1-8 and 11-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lennon et al (6,516,090).

Lennon et al, in Figures 1-11, discloses an automated video interpretation system using the same method of encoding and decoding video content as specified in claims 1-8 and 11-26 of the present invention, the method using a plurality of encoders 254 each associated with one of a plurality of content models (i.e. regions) and including a generic encoder associated with a generic content model 266, the method further uses a plurality of decoders each associated with one of the plurality of content models (Fig. 11) and including a generic decoder associated with the generic content model (i.e. model parameters from 266), the method comprising extracting a portion from the video content 120; mapping the portion to associate a model from the plurality

Application/Control Number: 09/874,878

Art Unit: 2613

of models 130; if a model is mapped to the portion: encoding the portion using an encoder associated with the portion model (e.g. probabilistic-model); if a model is not mapped to the model: encoding the portion using the generic encoder 266; transmitting the portion to a switch 256; if a model is mapped to the portion: routing the portion via the switch to a decoder associated with the portion model decoder (i.e. decoding probabilistic-model); if a model is not mapped to the portion: routing the portion via the switch to the generic decoder (i.e. based on encoded model parameters); connecting an output from each decoder of the plurality of decoders to a second switch (906, 912); and receiving output from each decoder of the plurality of decoders to assemble the decoded segments for display 904.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/874,878

Art Unit: 2613

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

12. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lennon et al.

Although Figure 3 of Lennon et al discloses that the portion model is chosen from probabilistic models such as action, slow, scene details, light changes, and information associated with properties of the scene and special effects, it is noted Lennon et al differs from the present invention in that it fails to disclose the complete list of models as specified in claims 9 and 10. However, Examiner takes Official Notice that such video classifications are notoriously well known in the art. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had no difficulty in exploiting the segmentation techniques as disclosed in Lennon et al, as well as other common video segment models in order to better understand the content of an image through more detailed identification of significant objects or regions in the image.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-7584.

The examiner can normally be reached on (703) 308-7584.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/874,878

Art Unit: 2613

878 Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. Lee

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2613